•	PATE	ENT COOPE	RATION TRE	ATY RECEIVED:					
rom the				OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAN					
ITERNATIONAL SEARCH	ING AUTHORITY			MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.					
To:			PCTOCKETING DEPT						
JAMES D. HAMILTON	I I AND MAIFR &	NEUSTADT							
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA. VA 22314			Type of Resp(s): WRITTENSOPENION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY						
									(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	1 9 MAR 2007					
Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER						
275482WO			1	See paragraph 2 below					
International application No.	Intern	ational filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
		igust 2006 (22.08.		22 August 2005 (22.08.2005)					
International Patent Classific	ation (IPC) or both	national classifica	tion and IPC						
IPC: A43B 13/00( 2006	.01),1/10( 2006.01)								
USPC: 36/97.102				ANNA					
Applicant									
WHITE, JAY									
Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VI  Box No. VII	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Lack of unity of invention  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Certain documents cited  Certain defects in the international application								
Box No. VIII	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
International Preliminat	tional preliminary e ry Examining Auth s one to be the IPE	ority ("IPEA") e A and the chosen	xcept that this does IPEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) ered.					
If this opinion is, as pro IPEA a written reply to of Form PCT/ISA/220 o For further options, see	ovided above, consi- gether, where approp r before the expiration Form PCT/ISA/220.	dered to be a wri priate, with amend on of 22 months fi	tten opinion of the II	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing					
3. For further details, see n	otes to Form PCT/IS								
Name and mailing address o		Date of comple	etion of this opinion	Authorized flucer					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn:		24 January 200	07 (24.01.2007)	Marie Patte son					
Commissioner for Par P.O. Box 1450	iciio	24 Junuary 200	. (201.2007)						
Alexandria Virginia	22313-1450	1		Tolembone No. 702-308-1148					

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US06/32808

Box No. I Basis of this opinion					
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
the international application in the language in which it was filed					
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).					
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a. type of material					
a sequence listing					
table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material					
on paper					
in electronic form					
c. time of filing/furnishing					
contained in the international application as filed.					
filed together with the international application in electronic form.					
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4. Additional comments:					

and the second of the control of the second of the second

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US06/32808

Bo	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
2. 3.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:    paid additional fees   paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee   paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid   not paid additional fees   not paid additional fees   This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.  This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is   complied with   not complied with for the following reasons:  See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)					
4. C	Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:  all parts.  the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-23					

BENNERS OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTRO

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US06/32808

and the first that the contraction of the first contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the first

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement				
Novelty (N) Cla	ms <u>5-8, 19, 21, and 22</u> YES			
Cla	ms 1-4, 9-18, 20, and 23 NO			
Inventive step (IS) Cla	ms and 8 YES			
Cla	ms <u>1-6 and 9-23</u> NO			
Industrial applicability (IA) Cla	ms <u>1-23</u> YES			
Cla	ms <u>NONE</u> NO			
2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-4, 9-12, and 23 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) Claims 1, 11, 15-18, and 20 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(	2) as being anticipated by Gasparovic (6189239).			
Claims 1-4, 9, and 15-17 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2)	is being anticipated by Marrone (2002/0078591).			
a snoe with sole segments with deformable members therebetwee therebetween (88) substantially as claimed except for the exact I	s being obvious over Clark in view of LaDuca (6745498). Clark shows en (108 and 110), and upper segments (86) with elastic segments ocation of the elastic segments. LaDuca teaches providing elastic d have been obvious to place the elastic segments as taught by LaDuca ed upper.			

Claims 1, 12-14, and 23 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Issler (2005/0150134).

Claims 19, and 21 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Gasparovic in view of Clark. Gasparovic shows as shoe substantially as claimed except for a rigid member between the sidewalls. Clark teaches providing a rigid member (102 or 130). It would have been obvious to provide a rigid member as taught by Clark in the shoe of Gasparovic to provide support and structure and stability to the shoe.

Claims 7 and 8 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the exact contour lines of an elastic portion of an upper in addition to a sole with deformable members as claimed.

Claims 1-6 and 9-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)